

S. 426

At the request of Mr. SARBANES, the name of the Senator from Michigan [Mr. LEVIN] was added as a cosponsor of S. 426, a bill to authorize the Alpha Phi Alpha Fraternity to establish a memorial to Martin Luther King, Jr., in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes.

S. 530

At the request of Mr. GREGG, the name of the Senator from Montana [Mr. BAUCUS] was added as a cosponsor of S. 530, a bill to amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to permit State and local government workers to perform volunteer services for their employer without requiring the employer to pay overtime compensation, and for other purposes.

S. 603

At the request of Mr. FAIRCLOTH, the name of the Senator from Washington [Mr. GORTON] was added as a cosponsor of S. 603, a bill to nullify an Executive order that prohibits Federal contracts with companies that hire permanent replacements for striking employees, and for other purposes.

S. 628

At the request of Mr. KYL, the name of the Senator from Minnesota [Mr. GRAMS] was added as a cosponsor of S. 628, a bill to repeal the Federal estate and gift taxes and the tax on generation-skipping transfers.

S. 770

At the request of Mr. DOLE, the name of the Senator from Alaska [Mr. MURKOWSKI] was added as a cosponsor of S. 770, a bill to provide for the relocation of the United States Embassy in Israel to Jerusalem, and for other purposes.

S. 772

At the request of Mr. DORGAN, the name of the Senator from Vermont [Mr. JEFFORDS] was added as a cosponsor of S. 772, a bill to provide for an assessment of the violence broadcast on television, and for other purposes.

S. 773

At the request of Mrs. KASSEBAUM, the names of the Senator from Arkansas [Mr. BUMBERS], the Senator from Florida [Mr. MACK], the Senator from Missouri [Mr. ASHCROFT], and the Senator from Mississippi [Mr. COCHRAN] were added as cosponsors of S. 773, a bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to provide for improvements in the process of approving and using animal drugs, and for other purposes.

S. 877

At the request of Mrs. HUTCHISON, the name of the Senator from Arizona [Mr. KYL] was added as a cosponsor of S. 877, a bill to amend section 353 of the Public Health Service Act to exempt physician office laboratories from the clinical laboratories requirements of that section.

S. 930

At the request of Mr. SHELBY, the name of the Senator from Arizona [Mr. MCCAIN] was added as a cosponsor of S.

930, a bill to require States receiving prison construction grants to implement requirements for inmates to perform work and engage in educational activities, and for other purposes.

S. 989

At the request of Mrs. KASSEBAUM, the name of the Senator from Wyoming [Mr. THOMAS] was added as a cosponsor of S. 989, a bill to limit funding of an Executive order that would prohibit Federal contractors from hiring permanent replacements for lawfully striking employees, and for other purposes.

SENATE RESOLUTION 103

At the request of Mr. DOMENICI, the name of the Senator from Louisiana [Mr. JOHNSTON] was added as a cosponsor of Senate Resolution 103, a resolution to proclaim the week of October 15 through October 21, 1995, as National Character Counts Week, and for other purposes.

SENATE RESOLUTION 146

At the request of Mr. JOHNSTON, the name of the Senator from New Mexico [Mr. DOMENICI] was added as a cosponsor of Senate Resolution 146, a resolution designating the week beginning November 19, 1995, and the week beginning on November 24, 1996, as "National Family Week," and for other purposes.

SENATE RESOLUTION 149

At the request of Mr. AKAKA, the name of the Senator from Vermont [Mr. JEFFORDS] was added as a cosponsor of Senate Resolution 149, a resolution expressing the sense of the Senate regarding the recent announcement by the Republic of France that it intends to conduct a series of underground nuclear test explosions despite the current international moratorium on nuclear testing.

AMENDMENT NO. 1530

At the request of Mr. CAMPBELL the names of the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. FORD] and the Senator from North Carolina [Mr. FAIRCLOTH] were added as cosponsors of amendment No. 1530 intended to be proposed to S. 343, a bill to reform the regulatory process, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED

THE COMPREHENSIVE REGULATORY REFORM ACT OF 1995

HUTCHISON (AND ASHCROFT)
AMENDMENT NO. 1789

Mrs. HUTCHISON (for herself and Mr. ASHCROFT) proposed an amendment to amendment No. 1786 proposed by Mr. ASHCROFT to the bill (S. 343) to reform the regulatory process, and for other purposes; as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be added, add the following:

"TITLE II—URBAN REGULATORY RELIEF ZONES

SECTION 201. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Urban Regulatory Relief Zone Act of 1995".

SEC. 202. FINDINGS.

The Congress finds that—

(1) the likelihood that a proposed business site will comply with many government regulations is inversely related to the length of time over which a site has been utilized for commercial and/or industrial purposes in the past, thus rendering older sites in urban areas the sites most unlikely to be chosen for new development and thereby forcing new development away from the areas most in need of economic growth and job creation; and

(2) broad Federal regulations often have unintended social and economic consequences in urban areas where such regulations, among other things—

(A) offend basic notions of common sense, particularly when applied to individual sites;

(B) adversely impact economic stability;

(C) result in the unnecessary loss of existing jobs and businesses;

(D) undermine new economic development, especially in previously used sites;

(E) create undue economic hardships while failing significantly to protect human health, particularly in areas where economic development is urgently needed in order to improve the health and welfare of residents over the long term; and

(F) contribute to social deterioration to a such degree that high unemployment, crime, and other economic and social problems create the greatest risk to the health and well-being of urban residents.

SEC. 203. PURPOSES.

The purposes of this title are to—

(1) enable qualifying cities to provide for the general well-being, health, safety and security for their residents living in distressed areas by empowering such cities to obtain selective relief from Federal regulations that undermine economic stability and development in distressed areas within the city; and

(2) authorize Federal agencies to waive the application of specific Federal regulations in distressed urban areas designated as Urban Regulatory Relief Zones by an Economic Development Commission—

(A) upon application through the Office of Management and Budget by an Economic Development Commission established by a qualifying city pursuant to section 205; and

(B) upon a determination by the appropriate Federal agency that granting such a waiver will not substantially endanger health or safety.

SEC. 204. ELIGIBILITY FOR WAIVERS.

(a) ELIGIBLE CITIES.—The mayor or chief executive officer of a city may establish an Economic Development Commission to carry out the purposes of section 205 if the city has a population greater than 200,000 according to:

(1) the U.S. Census Bureau's 1992 estimate for city populations; or

(2) beginning six months after the enactment of this title, the U.S. Census Bureau's latest estimate for city populations.

(b) DISTRESSED AREA.—Any census tract within a city shall qualify as distressed area if—

(1) 33 percent or more of the resident population in the census tract is below the poverty line; or

(2) 45 percent or more of out-of-school males aged 16 and over in the census tract worked less than 26 weeks in the preceding year; or

(3) 36 percent or more families with children under age 18 in the census tract have an unmarried parent as head of the household; or

(4) 17 percent or more of the resident families in the census tract received public assistance income in the preceding year.

SEC. 205. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONS.

(a) PURPOSE.—The mayor or chief executive officer of a qualifying city under section

204 may appoint an Economic Development Commission for the purpose of—

(1) designating distressed areas, or a combination of distressed areas with one another or with adjacent industrial or commercial areas, within the city as Urban Regulatory Relief Zones; and

(2) making application through the Office of Management and Budget to waive the application of specific Federal regulations within such Urban Regulatory Relief Zones.

(b) COMPOSITION.—To the greatest extent practicable, an Economic Development Commission shall include—

(1) residents representing a demographic cross section of the city population; and

(2) members of the business community, private civic organizations, employers, employees, elected officials, and State and local regulatory authorities.

(c) LIMITATION.—No more than one Economic Development Commission shall be established or designated within a qualifying city.

SEC. 206. LOCAL PARTICIPATION.

(a) PUBLIC HEARINGS.—Before designating an area as an Urban Regulatory Relief Zone, an Economic Development Commission established pursuant to section 205 shall hold a public hearing, after giving adequate public notice, for the purpose of soliciting the opinions and suggestions of those persons who will be affected by such designation.

(b) INDIVIDUAL REQUESTS.—The Economic Development Commission shall establish a process by which individuals may submit requests to the Economic Development Commission to include specific Federal regulations in the Commission's application to the Office of Management and Budget seeking waivers of Federal regulations.

(c) AVAILABILITY OF COMMISSION DECISIONS.—After holding a hearing under paragraph (a) and before submitting any waiver applications to the Office of Management and Budget pursuant to section 207, the Economic Development Commission shall make publicly available—

(1) a list of all areas within the city to be designated as Urban Regulatory Relief Zones, if any;

(2) a list of all regulations for which the Economic Development Commission will request a waiver from a Federal agency; and

(3) the basis for the city's findings that the waiver of a regulation would improve the health and safety and economic well-being of the city's residents and the data supporting such a determination.

SEC. 207. WAIVER OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

(a) SELECTION OF REGULATIONS.—An Economic Development Commission may select for waiver, within an Urban Regulatory Relief Zone, Federal regulations that—

(1)(A) are unduly burdensome to business concerns located within an area designated as an Urban Regulatory Relief Zone; or

(B) discourages new economic development within the zone; or

(C) creates undue economic hardships in the zone; or

(D) contributes to the social deterioration of the zone; and

(2) if waived, will not substantially endanger health or safety.

(b) REQUEST FOR WAIVER.—(1) An Economic Development Commission shall submit a request for the waiver of Federal regulations to the Office of Management and Budget.

(2) Such request shall—

(A) identify the area designated as an Urban Regulatory Relief Zone by the Economic Development Commission;

(B) identify all regulations for which the Economic Development Commission seeks a waiver; and

(C) explain the reasons that waiver of the regulations would economically benefit the

Urban Regulatory Relief Zone and the data supporting such determination.

(c) REVIEW OF WAIVER REQUEST.—No later than 60 days after receiving the request for waiver, the Office of Management and Budget shall—

(1) review the request for waiver;

(2) determine whether the request for waiver is complete and in compliance with this title, using the most recent census data available at the time each applicant is submitted; and

(3) after making a determination under paragraph (2)—

(A) submit the request for waiver to the Federal agency that promulgated the regulation and notify the requesting Economic Development Commission of the date on which the request was submitted to such agency; or

(B) notify the requesting Economic Development Commission that the request is not in compliance with this Act with an explanation of the basis for such determination.

(d) MODIFICATION OF WAIVER REQUESTS.—An Economic Development Commission may submit modifications to a waiver request. The provisions of subsection (c) shall apply to a modified waiver as of the date such modification is received by the Office of Management and Budget.

(e) WAIVER DETERMINATION.—(1) No later than 120 days after receiving a request for waiver under subsection (c) from the Office of Management and Budget, a Federal agency shall—

(A) make a determination of whether to waive a regulation in whole or in part; and

(B) provide written notice to the requesting Economic Development Commission of such determination.

(2) Subject to subsection (g), a Federal agency shall deny a request for a waiver only if the waiver substantially endangers health or safety.

(3) If a Federal agency grants a waiver under this subsection, the agency shall provide a written statement to the requesting Economic Development Commission that—

(A) describes the extent of the waiver in whole or in part; and

(B) explains the application of the waiver, including guidance for the use of the waiver by business concerns, within the Urban Regulatory Relief Zone.

(4) If a Federal agency denies a waiver under this subsection, the agency shall provide a written statement to the requesting Economic Development Commission that—

(A) explains the reasons that the waiver substantially endangers health or safety; and

(B) provides a scientific basis in writing for such determination.

(f) AUTOMATIC WAIVER.—If a Federal agency does not provide the written notice required under subsection (e) within the 120-day period as required under such subsection, the waiver shall be deemed to be granted by the Federal agency.

(g) LIMITATION.—No provision of this Act shall be construed to authorize any Federal agency to waive any regulation or Executive order that prohibits, or the purpose of which is to protect persons against, discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, gender, or national origin.

(h) APPLICABLE PROCEDURES.—A waiver of a regulation under subsection (e) shall not be considered to be a rule, rulemaking, or regulation under chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code. The Federal agency shall publish a notice in the Federal Register stating any waiver of a regulation under this section.

(i) EFFECT OF SUBSEQUENT ADMINISTRATION OF REGULATIONS.—If a Federal agency amends a regulation for which a waiver under this section is in effect, the agency shall not change the waiver to impose additional requirements.

(j) EXPIRATION OF WAIVERS.—No waiver of a regulation under this section shall expire unless the Federal agency determines that a continuation of the waiver substantially endangers health or safety.

SEC. 208. DEFINITIONS.

For purposes of this Act, the term—

(1) "regulation" means—

(A) any rule as defined under section 551(4) of title 5, United States Code; or

(B) any rulemaking conducted on the record after opportunity for an agency hearing under sections 556 and 557 of such title;

(2) "Urban Regulatory Relief Zone" means an area designated under section 205;

(3) "qualifying city" means a city which is eligible to establish an Economic Development Commission under section 204;

(4) "industrial or commercial area" means any part of a census tract zoned for industrial or commercial use which is adjacent to a census tract which is a distressed area pursuant to section 205(b); and

(5) "poverty line" has the same meaning as such term is defined under section 673(2) of the Community Services Block Grant Act (42 U.S.C. 9902(2)).

SEC. 209. EFFECTIVE DATE.

The provisions of this title shall become effective one day after the date of enactment."

GLENN AMENDMENT NO. 1790

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. GLENN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to amendment No. 1487 proposed by Mr. DOLE to the bill S. 343, supra; as follows:

On page 59, delete entire section 634, "petition for review of a major freestanding risk assessment".

Insert in lieu thereof:

SEC. 634. PLAN FOR THE REVIEW OF RISK ASSESSMENTS.

(a) No later than 18 months after the effective date of this section, the head of each covered agency shall publish, after notice and public comment, a plan to review and revise any risk assessment published before the expiration of such 18-month period if the covered agency determines that significant new information or methodologies are available that could significantly alter the results of the prior risk assessment.

(b) A plan under subsection (a) shall—

(1) provide procedures for receiving and considering new information and risk assessments from the public; and

(2) set priorities and criteria for review and revision of risk assessments based on such factors as the agency head considers appropriate.

(3) provide a schedule for the review of risk assessments. This schedule shall be revised as appropriate based on new information received under (b)(1) and reviewed under criteria developed in accordance with paragraph (b)(2).

(c) The head of each covered agency shall review risk assessments according to the schedule published by the agency under paragraph (a).

GLENN (AND LEVIN) AMENDMENT NO. 1791

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. GLENN (for himself and Mr. LEVIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by them to amendment No. 1487 proposed by Mr. DOLE to the bill S. 343, supra; as follows:

On page 25, line 23, through page 35, line 8, strike text and insert in lieu thereof the following:

“§ 623. Agency regulatory review

“(a)(1) Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this section, and every 5 years thereafter, the head of each agency shall publish in the Federal Register a notice of proposed rulemaking under section 553 that contains a preliminary schedule of rules selected for review under this section by the head of the agency and in the sole discretion of the head of the agency, and request public comment thereon, including suggestions for additional rules warranting review. The agency shall allow at least 180 days for public comment.

“(2) The preliminary schedule under this subsection shall propose deadlines for review of each rule listed thereon, and such deadlines shall occur not later than 11 years from the date of publication of the preliminary schedule.

“(3) In selecting rules and establishing deadlines for the preliminary schedule, the head of the agency shall consider the extent to which, in the judgment of the head of the agency—

“(A) a rule is unnecessary, and the agency has discretion under the statute authorizing the rule to repeal the rule;

“(B) the benefits of the rule do not justify its costs or the rule does not achieve the rulemaking objectives in a cost-effective manner;

“(C) a rule could be revised in a manner allowed by the statute authorizing the rule so as to—

“(i) substantially decrease costs;

“(ii) substantially increase benefits; or

“(iii) provide greater flexibility for regulated entities, through mechanisms including, but not limited to, those listed in section 622(c)(2)(C)(iii);

“(D) the importance of each rule relative to other rules being reviewed under this section; or

“(E) the resources expected to be available to the agency to carry out the reviews under this section.

“(b)(1) Not later than 1 year after publication of a preliminary schedule under subsection (a), the head of each agency shall publish a final rule that establishes a schedule of rules to be reviewed by the agency under this section.

“(2) The schedule shall establish a deadline for completion of the review of each rule listed on the schedule, taking into account the criteria in subsection (a)(3) and comments received in the rulemaking under subsection (a). Each such deadline shall occur not later than 11 years from the date of publication of the preliminary schedule.

“(3) The head of the agency shall modify the agency's schedule under this section to reflect any change contained in an appropriations Act under subsection (d).

“(c)(1) Notwithstanding section 623 and except as provided otherwise in this subsection, judicial review of agency action taken pursuant to the requirements of this section shall be limited to review of compliance or noncompliance with the requirements of this section.

“(2) Agency decisions to place, or decline to place, a rule on the schedule, and the deadlines for completion of a rule, shall not be subject to judicial review.

“(d)(1) The President's annual budget proposal submitted under section 1105(a) of title 31 for each agency subject to this section shall—

“(A) identify as a separate sum the amount requested to be appropriated for implementation of this section during the upcoming fiscal year; and

“(B) include a list of rules which may be subject to subsection (e)(3) during the year for which the budget proposal is made.

“(2) Amendments to the schedule under subsection (b) to place a rule on the schedule for review or change a deadline for review of a rule may be included in annual appropriations Act for the relevant agencies. An authorizing committee with jurisdiction may recommend, to the House of Representatives or Senate appropriations committee as the case may be, such amendments. The appropriations committee to which such amendments have been submitted may include the amendments in the annual appropriations Act for the relevant agency. Each agency shall modify its schedule under subsection (b) to reflect such amendments that are enacted into law.

“(e)(1) For each rule on the schedule under subsection (b), the agency shall—

“(A) not later than 2 years before the deadline in such schedule, publish in the Federal Register a notice that solicits public comment regarding whether the rule should be continued, amended, or repealed;

“(B) not later than 1 year before the deadline in such schedule, publish in the Federal Register a notice that—

“(i) addresses public comments generated by the notice in subparagraph (A);

“(ii) contains a preliminary analysis provided by the agency of whether the rule is a major rule, and if so, whether the benefits of the rule justify its costs;

“(iii) contains a preliminary determination as to whether the rule should be continued, amended, or repealed; and

“(iv) solicits public comment on the preliminary determination for the rule; and

“(C) not later than 60 days before the deadline in such schedule, publish in the Federal Register a final notice on the rule that—

“(i) addresses public comments generated by the notice in subparagraph (B); and

“(ii) contains a final determination of whether to continue, amend, or repeal the rule;

“(iii) if the agency determines to continue the rule and the rule is a major rule, describes a final analysis as to whether the benefits of the rule justify its costs; and

“(iv) if the agency determines to amend or repeal the rule, contains a notice of proposed rulemaking under section 553.

“(2) If the final determination of the agency is to continue the rule, that determination shall take effect 60 days after the publication in the Federal Register of the notice in paragraph (1)(C).

“(3) If the final determination of the agency is to continue the rule, and the agency has concluded that the benefits do not justify the costs, the agency shall transmit to the appropriate committees of Congress the cost-benefit analysis and a statement of the agency's reasons for continuing the rule.

“(f) If an agency makes a determination to amend or repeal a major rule under subsection (e)(1)(C)(ii), the agency shall complete final agency action with regard to such rule not later than 2 years of the date of publication of the notice in subsection (e)(1)(C) containing such determination. Nothing in this subsection shall limit the discretion of an agency to decide, after having proposed to modify a major rule, not to promulgate such modification. Such decision shall constitute final agency action for the purposes of judicial review.

“(g) If an agency has not completed review of the rule by the deadline established under subsection (b), the agency shall immediately commence a rulemaking action pursuant to section 553 of this title to repeal the rule and shall complete such rulemaking within 2 years of the deadline established under subsection (b).

“(h)(1) The final determination of an agency to continue a rule under subsection (e)(1)(C) shall be considered final agency action.

“(2) Failure to promulgate an amended major rule or to make other decisions required by subsection (g) by the date established under such subsection shall be subject to judicial review pursuant to section 706(1) of this title.

ROTH AMENDMENTS NOS. 1792-1794

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. ROTH submitted three amendments intended to be proposed by him to amendment No. 1487 proposed by Mr. DOLE to the bill S. 343, *supra*; as follows:

AMENDMENT NO. 1792

On page 35, line 23, strike all down through page 38, line 5, and insert in lieu thereof the following:

“(3) the rule adopts the most cost-effective alternative of the reasonable alternatives that achieve the objectives of the statute.

“(c) ALTERNATIVE REQUIREMENTS.—If, applying the statutory requirements upon which the rule is based, a rule cannot satisfy the criteria of subsection (b), the agency head may promulgate the rule if the agency head finds that—

“(1) the rule employs to the extent practicable flexible reasonable alternatives of the type described in section 622(c)(2)(C)(iii); and

“(2) the rule adopts the most cost-effective alternative of the reasonable alternatives that achieve the objectives of the statute.”

AMENDMENT NO. 1793

On page 35, line 23, strike all down through page 38, line 5, and insert in lieu thereof the following:

“(3) the rule adopts the alternative with greater net benefits than the other reasonable alternatives that achieve the objectives of the statute.

“(c) ALTERNATIVE REQUIREMENTS.—If, applying the statutory requirements upon which the rule is based, a rule cannot satisfy the criteria of subsection (b), the agency head may promulgate the rule if the agency head finds that—

“(1) the rule employs to the extent practicable flexible reasonable alternatives of the type described in section 622(c)(2)(C)(iii); and

“(2) the rule adopts the alternative with the least net cost of the reasonable alternatives that achieve the objectives of the statute.”

AMENDMENT NO. 1794

On page 56, delete lines 17-21 and insert in lieu thereof the following:

“(2) The head of an agency shall place the nature and magnitude of risks to human health, safety, and the environment being analyzed in context, including appropriate comparisons with other risks that are familiar to, and routinely encountered by, the general public.”

**SHELBY (AND OTHERS)
AMENDMENT NO. 1795**

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. SHELBY (for himself, Mr. FRIST, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Mr. LOTT, Mr. HELMS, Mr. COCHRAN, and Mr. GRAMS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by them to amendment No. 1487 proposed by Mr. DOLE to the bill S. 343, *supra*; as follows:

On page 96, insert between lines 20 and 21 the following new section:

SEC. . SMALL BUSINESS REGULATORY BILL OF RIGHTS.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—This section may be cited as the “Small Business Regulatory Bill of Rights Act”.

(b) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subchapter:

“SUBCHAPTER VI—SMALL BUSINESS REGULATORY BILL OF RIGHTS

“§ 597. Definition

“For purposes of this subchapter, the term ‘small business’ has the same meaning given such term in section 601(3).

“§ 597a. Rights of small businesses prior to enforcement action

“(a) Except as provided in section 597c, each agency shall ensure that its regulatory enforcement program includes—

“(1) implementation of a no-fault compliance audit program;

“(2) a publicized, coherent compliance assistance program available to regulated small businesses under the agency’s jurisdiction that provides technical and other compliance related assistance to small businesses upon request of a small business;

“(3) a method to enforce regulations in a uniform, consistent, and nonarbitrary manner nationwide;

“(4) an abatement period of not less than 60 days to allow the small business to correct any violations discovered during an agency inspection before a penalty is assessed; and

“(5) a grace period of not less than 180 days to allow the small business to correct any violation discovered through participation in the programs created under paragraph (1) or (2).

“(b) No penalties or enforcement actions will be assessed or taken if such violations are corrected during the grace period described under subsection (a)(5), so long as the business has not engaged in a pattern of intentional misconduct. Additional penalties may be assessed on businesses engaging in a pattern of intentional misconduct, not to exceed one and one half times the original penalty.

“§ 597b. Rights after investigative or enforcement action

“Except as provided in section 597c, each small business that has been found in violation of a regulation and was subject to an enforcement action or penalty shall have the right—

“(1) to be free from inspections for 180 days after the date on which the small business obtains certification from the agency that the small business is in compliance with the regulation;

“(2) to have ability to pay factored into the assessment of penalties through flexible payments plans with reduced installments that reflect the business’s long-term ability to pay (taking into account cash-flow and long-term profitability); and

“(3) to not have fines paid be used to finance the inspecting agency, but instead credited to the General Treasury of the United States, to be used for reduction of the Federal deficit.

“§ 597c. Exceptions and limitation

“(a) A provision of this subchapter shall not apply if compliance with such provision of this subchapter would—

“(1) substantially delay responding to an imminent danger to person or property;

“(2) substantially or unreasonably impede a criminal investigation; or

“(3) enable any small business to knowingly disregard applicable regulations, except a request for a no-fault compliance audit shall not constitute prima facie evidence of knowingly disregarding applicable regulations.

“(b) A small business shall not be entitled to the benefit of a no-fault compliance audit program under section 597a(1) regarding a particular enforcement issue for 60 days after the business has had an agency-initiated contact regarding such issue.

“(c) This subchapter shall not apply to any rule or regulation described under section 621(9)(B)(i).”.

(c) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.**—The analysis for chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SUBCHAPTER VI—SMALL BUSINESS REGULATORY BILL OF RIGHTS

“Sec.

“597. Definition.

“597a. Rights of small businesses prior to enforcement action.

“597b. Rights after investigative or enforcement action.

“597c. Exceptions and limitation.”.

(d) **RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET.**—

(1) **COORDINATION.**—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall coordinate the implementation of this section and establish a schedule for bringing all affected agencies into full compliance by the effective date of this section. Agencies may be brought into partial compliance before such date.

(2) **REPORT.**—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall submit an annual report to Congress on the progress of the agencies in complying with this section and the amendments made by this section.

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—This section shall take effect on the earlier of the date designated by the President or January 1, 1998.

LIEBERMAN AMENDMENT NO. 1796

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. LIEBERMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to amendment No. 1573 submitted by Mr. BOND to the bill S. 343, *supra*; as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted, insert the following:

“Petition for alternative method of compliance

“(a) Except as provided in subsection (j) or unless prohibited by the statute authorizing a rule, any person subject to a rule may petition the relevant agency implementing the rule to modify or waive the specific requirements of a rule and to authorize an alternative compliance strategy satisfying the criteria of subsection (b).

“(b) Any petition submitted under subsection (a) shall—

“(1) identify with reasonable specificity the requirements for which the modification or waiver is sought and the alternative compliance strategy being proposed;

“(2) identify the facility to which the modification or waiver would pertain;

“(3) considering all the significant applicable human health, safety, and environmental benefits intended to be achieved by the rule, demonstrate that the alternative compliance strategy, from the standpoint of the applicable human health, safety, and environmental benefits, taking into account all cross-media impacts, will achieve—

“(A) a significantly better result than would be achieved through compliance with the rule; or

“(B) an equivalent result at significantly lower compliance costs than would be achieved through compliance with the rule; and

“(4) demonstrate that the proposed alternative compliance strategy provides a degree

of accountability, enforceability, and public and agency access to information at least to that of the rule.

“(c) No later than the date on which the petitioner submits the petition to the agency, the petitioner shall in form the public of the submission of such petition (including a brief description of the petition) through publication of a notice in newspapers of general circulation in the area in which the facility is located. The agency may authorize or require petitioners to use additional or alternative means of informing the public of the submission of such petitions. If the agency proposes to grant the petition, the agency shall provide public notice and opportunity to comment.

“(d) The agency may approve the petition upon determining that the proposed alternative compliance strategy—

“(1) considering all the significant applicable human health, safety, and environmental benefits intended to be achieved by the rule, from the standpoint of the applicable human health, safety, and environmental benefits, taking into account all cross-media impacts, will achieve—

“(A) a significantly better result than would be achieved through compliance with the rule; or

“(B) an equivalent result at significantly lower compliance costs than would be achieved through compliance with the rule;

“(2) will provide a degree of accountability, enforceability, and public and agency access to information at least equal to that provided by the rule;

“(3) will not impose an undue burden on the agency that would be responsible for administering and enforcing such alternative compliance strategy; and

“(4) satisfies any other relevant factors.

“(e) Where relevant, the agency shall give priority to petitions with alternative compliance strategies using pollution prevention approaches.

“(f) In making determinations under subsection (d), the agency shall take into account whether the proposed alternative compliance strategy would transfer any significant health, safety, or environmental effects to other geographic locations, future generations, or classes of people.

“(g) Any alternative compliance strategy for which a petition is granted under this section shall be enforceable as if it were a provision of the rule being modified or waived.

“(h) The grant of a petition under this section shall be judicially reviewable as if it were the issuance of an amendment to the rule being modified or waived. The denial of a petition shall not be subject to judicial review.

“(i) No agency may grant more than 30 petitions per year under this section.

“(j) If the statute authorizing the rule that is the subject of the petition provides procedures or standards for an alternative method of compliance, the petition shall be reviewed solely under the terms of the statute.

BOND (AND ROBB) AMENDMENTS NOS. 1797-1798

(Ordered to lie on the table.)

Mr. BOND (for himself and Mr. ROBB) submitted two amendments intended to be proposed by them to amendment No. 1487 proposed by Mr. DOLE to the bill S. 343, *supra*; as follows:

AMENDMENT NO. 1797

On page 44, line 14, strike everything after “section 629” through page 46, line 4, and insert in lieu thereof the following:

“Petition for alternative means of compliance

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Any person may petition an agency to modify or waive one or

more rules or requirements applicable to one or more facilities owned or operated by such person. The agency is authorized to enter into an enforceable agreement establishing methods of compliance, not otherwise permitted by such rules or requirements, to be complied with in lieu of such rules or requirements. The petition shall identify with reasonable specificity, each facility for which an alternative means of compliance is sought, the rules and requirements for which a modification or waiver is sought and the proposed alternative means of compliance and means to verify compliance and for communication with the public. Where a state has delegated authority to operate a federal program within the state, or is authorized to operate a state program in lieu of an otherwise applicable federal program, the relevant agency shall delegate, if the state so requests, its authority under its authority under this section to the state.

“(b) STANDARDS.—The agency shall grant the petition if the state in which the facility is located agrees to any alternative means of compliance with respect to rules or requirements over which such state has delegated authority to operate a federal program, or is authorized to operate a state program in lieu of an otherwise applicable federal program, and the agency determines that the petitioner has demonstrated that there is a reasonable likelihood that the alternative means of compliance—

(1) would achieve an overall level of protection of health, safety and the environment at least substantially equivalent to or exceeding the level of protection provided by the rules or requirements subject to the petition;

(2) would provide a degree of public access to information, and of accountability and enforceability, at least substantially equivalent to the degree provided by the rules and requirements subject to the petition; and

(3) would not impose an undue burden on the agency responsible for enforcing the agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (f).

“(c) OTHER PROCEDURES.—If the statute authorizing a rule subject to a petition under this section provides specific available procedures or standards allowing an alternative means of compliance for such rule, such petition shall be reviewed consistent with such procedures or standards, unless the head of the agency for good cause finds that reviewing the petition in solely accordance with subsection (b) is in the public interest.

“(d) PUBLIC NOTICE AND INPUT.—No later than the date on which the petitioner submits the petition to the agency, the petitioner shall inform the public of the submission of such petition (including a brief description of the petition) through publication of a notice in the newspapers of general circulation in the area in which the facility or facilities are located. Agencies may authorize or require petitioners to use additional or alternative means of informing the public of the submission of such petitions. If the agency proposes to grant the petition, the agency shall provide public notice and opportunity to comment on the petition and on any proposed enforceable agreement.

“(e) DEADLINE AND LIMITATION ON SUBSEQUENT PETITIONS.—A decision to grant or deny a petition under this subsection shall be made no later than 240 days after a complete petition is submitted. Following a decision to deny a petition under this section, no petition, submitted by the same person, may be granted unless it applies to a different facility, or it is based on a change in a fact, circumstance, or provision of law underlying or otherwise related to the rules or requirements subject to the petition.

“(f) AGREEMENT.—Upon granting a petition under this section, the agency shall propose

to the petitioner an enforceable agreement establishing alternative methods of compliance for the facility in lieu of the otherwise applicable rules or requirements and identifying such rules and requirements. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, such enforceable agreement may modify or waive the terms of any rule or requirement, including any standard, limitation, permit, order, regulations or other requirement issued by the agency consistent with the requirements of subsection (b) and (c), provided that the state in which the facility is located agrees to any modification or waiver of a rule or requirement over which such state has delegated authority to operate a federal program within the state, or is authorized to operate a state program in lieu of an otherwise applicable federal program. If accepted by the petitioner, compliance with such agreement shall be deemed to be compliance with the laws and rules identified in the agreement. The agreement shall contain appropriate mechanisms to assure compliance including money damages and injunctive relief, for violations of the agreement. The agreement may provide the state in which the facility is located with rights equivalent to the agency with respect to one or more provisions of the agreement.

“(g) NEPA NONAPPLICABILITY.—Approval of an alternative means of compliance under this section by an agency shall not be considered a major Federal action for purposes of the National Environmental Policy Act.

“(h) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—A decision to grant or deny a petition, or to enter into an enforceable agreement, under this section shall be not be subject to judicial review.

“(i) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—A decision to grant or deny a petition or enter into an enforceable agreement shall not create any obligation on an agency to modify and regulation. Nothing in this section shall be construed to diminish the level of protection of public health, safety or the environmental required by statute.

AMENDMENT No. 1798

On page 1, line 5, strike everything through the end of the amendment and insert in lieu thereof the following:

“Petition for alternative means of compliance

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Any person may petition an agency to modify or waive one or more rules or requirements applicable to one or more facilities owned or operated by such person. The agency is authorized to enter into an enforceable agreement establishing methods of compliance, not otherwise permitted by such rules or requirements, to be complied with in lieu of such rules or requirements. The petition shall identify with reasonable specificity, each facility for which an alternative means of compliance is sought, the rules and requirements for which a modification or waiver is sought and the proposed alternative means of compliance and means to verify compliance and for communication with the public. Where a state has delegated authority to operate a federal program within the state, or is authorized to operate a state program in lieu of any otherwise applicable federal program, the relevant agency shall delegate, if the state so requests, its authority under its authority under this section to the state.

“(b) STANDARDS.—The agency shall grant the petition if the state in which the facility is located agrees to any alternative means of compliance with respect to rules or requirements over which such state has delegated authority to operate a federal program, or is authorized to operate a state program in lieu of an otherwise applicable federal program, and the agency determines that the petitioner had demonstrated that there is a rea-

sonable likelihood that the alternative means of compliance—

(1) would achieve an overall level of protection of health, safety and the environment at least substantially equivalent to or exceeding the level of protection provided by rules or requirements subject to the petition;

(2) would provide a degree of public access to information, and of accountability and enforceability, at least substantially equivalent to the degree provided by the rules and requirements subject to the petition; and

(3) would not impose an undue burden on the agency responsible for enforcing the agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (f).

“(c) OTHER PROCEDURES.—If the statute authorizing a rule subject to a petition under this section provides specific available procedures or standards allowing an alternative means of compliance for such rule, such petition shall be reviewed consistent with such procedures or standards, unless the head of the agency for good cause finds that reviewing the petition in solely accordance with subsection (b) is in the public interest.

“(d) PUBLIC NOTICE AND INPUT.—No later than the date on which the petitioner submits the petition to the agency, the petitioner shall inform the public of the submission of such petition (including a brief description of the petition) through publication of a notice in the newspapers of general circulation in the area in which the facility or facilities are located. Agencies may authorize or require petitioners to use additional or alternative means of informing the public of the submission of such petitions. If the agency proposes to grant the petition, the agency shall provide notice and opportunity to comment on the petition and on any proposed enforceable agreement.

“(e) DEADLINE AND LIMITATION ON SUBSEQUENT PETITIONS.—A decision to grant or deny a petition under this subsection shall be made no later than 240 days after a complete petition is submitted. Following a decision to deny a petition under this section, no petition, submitted by the same person, may be granted unless it applies to a different facility, or it is based on a change in a fact, circumstance, or provision of law underlying or otherwise related to the rules or requirements subject to the petition.

“(f) AGREEMENT.—Upon granting a petition under this section, the agency shall propose to the petitioner an enforceable agreement establishing alternative methods of compliance for the facility in lieu of the otherwise applicable rules or requirements and identifying such rules and requirements. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, such enforceable agreement may modify or waive the terms of any rule or requirement, including any standard, limitation, permit, order, regulations or other requirement issued by the agency consistent with the requirements of subsections (b) and (c), provided that the state in which the facility is located agrees to any modification or waiver of a rule or requirement over which such state has delegated authority to operate a federal program within the state, or is authorized to operate a state program in lieu of an otherwise applicable federal program. If accepted by the petitioner, compliance with such agreement shall be deemed to be compliance with the laws and rules identified in the agreement. The agreement shall contain appropriate mechanisms to assure compliance including money damages and injunctive relief, for violations of the agreement. The agreement may provide the state in which the facility is located with rights equivalent to the agency with respect to one or more provisions of the agreement.

“(g) NEPA NONAPPLICABILITY.—Approval of an alternative means of compliance under

this section by an agency shall not be considered a major Federal action for purposes of the National Environmental Policy Act.

“(h) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—A decision to grant or deny a petition, or to enter into an enforceable agreement, under this section shall not be subject to judicial review.

“(i) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—A decision to grant or deny a petition or enter into an enforceable agreement shall not create any obligation on an agency to modify any regulation. Nothing in this section shall be construed to diminish the level of protection of public health, safety or the environment required by statute.

JOHNSTON AMENDMENTS NOS. 1799-1800

(Ordered to lie on the table)

Mr. JOHNSTON submitted two amendments intended to be proposed by him to amendment No. 1574 submitted by Mr. LAUTENBERG to the bill S. 343, *supra*; as follows:

AMENDMENT No. 1799

In lieu of the matter to be inserted, insert the following:

“(d) TOXICS RELEASE INVENTORY STANDARDS.—Section 313(d) of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 (42 U.S.C. 11023(d)) is amended by adding the following to the end of paragraph (2):

“No chemical may be included on the list described in subsection (c) of this section, if the chemical has low toxicity to human health or the environment and if only under unrealistic exposures would such chemical pose one or more of the hazards described in subsection (d)(2)(B) or (d)(2)(C) beyond facility site boundaries. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require the Administrator or a person to carry out a risk assessment under 633 of title 5, United States Code, to carry out a site-specific analysis to establish actual ambient concentrations, or to document adverse effects at any particular location.”

(e) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) CHAPTER ANALYSIS.—Part I of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the chapter heading and table of sections for chapter 6 and inserting the following:

“CHAPTER 6—THE ANALYSIS OF REGULATORY FUNCTIONS

“SUBCHAPTER I—REGULATORY ANALYSIS

“Sec.

“601. Definitions.

“602. Regulatory agenda.

“603. Initial regulatory flexibility analysis.

“604. Final regulatory flexibility analysis.

“605. Avoidance of duplicative or unnecessary analyses.

“606. Effect on other law.

“607. Preparation of analysis.

“608. Procedure for waiver or delay of completion.

“609. Procedures for gathering comments.

“610. Periodic review of rules.

“611. Judicial review.

“612. Reports and intervention rights.

“SUBCHAPTER II—ANALYSIS OF AGENCY RULES

“621. Definitions.

“622. Rulemaking cost-benefit analysis.

“623. Agency regulatory review.

“624. Decisional criteria.

“625. Jurisdiction and judicial review.

“626. Deadlines for rulemaking.

“627. Special rule.

“628. Petition for Alternative Method of Compliance.

“SUBCHAPTER III—RISK ASSESSMENTS

“631. Definitions.

“632. Applicability.

“633. Principles for risk assessments.

“634. Petition for review of a major free-standing risk assessment.

“635. Comprehensive risk reduction.

“636. Rule of construction.

“SUBCHAPTER IV—EXECUTIVE OVERSIGHT

“641. Procedures.

“642. Delegation of authority.

“643. Judicial review.

“644. Regulatory agenda.”

(2) SUBCHAPTER HEADING.—Chapter 6 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting immediately before section 601, the following subchapter heading:

“SUBCHAPTER I—REGULATORY ANALYSIS”.

AMENDMENT No. 1800

Strike out subsection 625(e) (page 39, lines 18-24 and page 40, lines 1-7).

THE BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA SELF-DEFENSE ACT OF 1995

DOLE (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 1801

Mr. DOLE (for himself, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. HELMS, Mr. THURMOND, Mr. BIDEN, Mr. D'AMATO, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. WARNER, Mr. HATCH, Mr. KYL, Mr. MOYNIHAN, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. COCHRAN, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Mr. MACK, Mr. COVERDELL, Mr. PACKWOOD, Mr. MURKOWSKI, and Mr. SPECTER) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 21) to terminate the United States arms embargo applicable to the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Bosnia and Herzegovina Self-Defense Act of 1995”.

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

The Congress makes the following findings:

(1) For the reasons stated in section 520 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1994 and 1995 (Public Law 103-236), the Congress has found that continued application of an international arms embargo to the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina contravenes that Government's inherent right of individual or collective self-defense under Article 51 of the United Nations Charter and therefore is inconsistent with international law.

(2) The United States has not formally sought multilateral support for terminating the embargo against Bosnia and Herzegovina through a vote on a United Nations Security Council resolution since the enactment of section 1404 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337).

(3) The United Nations Security Council has not taken measures necessary to maintain international peace and security in Bosnia and Herzegovina since the aggression against that country began in April 1992.

SEC. 3. STATEMENT OF SUPPORT.

The Congress supports the efforts of the Government of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina—

(1) to defend its people and the territory of the Republic;

(2) to preserve the sovereignty, independence, and territorial integrity of the Republic; and

(3) to bring about a peaceful, just, fair, viable, and sustainable settlement of the conflict in Bosnia and Herzegovina.

SEC. 4. TERMINATION OF ARMS EMBARGO.

(a) TERMINATION.—The President shall terminate the United States arms embargo of the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina, as provided in subsection (b), following—

(1) receipt by the United States Government of a request from the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina for termination of the United States arms embargo and submission by the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina, in exercise of its sovereign rights as a nation, of a request to the United Nations Security Council for the departure of UNPROFOR from Bosnia and Herzegovina; or

(2) a decision by the United Nations Security Council, or decisions by countries contributing forces to UNPROFOR, to withdraw UNPROFOR from Bosnia and Herzegovina.

(b) IMPLEMENTATION OF TERMINATION.—The President may implement termination of the United States arms embargo of the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina pursuant to subsection (a) prior to the date of completion of the withdrawal of UNPROFOR personnel from Bosnia and Herzegovina, but shall, subject to subsection (c), implement termination of the embargo pursuant to that subsection no later than the earlier of—

(1) the date of completion of the withdrawal of UNPROFOR personnel from Bosnia and Herzegovina; or

(2) the date which is 12 weeks after the date of submission by the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina of a request to the United Nations Security Council for the departure of UNPROFOR from Bosnia and Herzegovina.

(c) PRESIDENTIAL WAIVER AUTHORITY.—If the President determines and reports in advance to Congress that the safety, security, and successful completion of the withdrawal of UNPROFOR personnel from Bosnia and Herzegovina in accordance with subsection (b)(2) requires more time than the period provided for in that subsection, the President may extend the time period available under subsection (b)(2) for implementing termination of the United States arms embargo of the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina for a period of up to 30 days. The authority in this subsection may be exercised to extend the time period available under subsection (b)(2) for more than one 30-day period.

(d) PRESIDENTIAL REPORTS.—Within 7 days of the commencement of the withdrawal of UNPROFOR from Bosnia and Herzegovina, and every 14 days thereafter, the President shall report in writing to the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives on the status and estimated date of completion of the withdrawal operation. If any such report includes an estimated date of completion of the withdrawal which is later than 12 weeks after commencement of the withdrawal operation, the report shall include the operational reasons which prevent the completion of the withdrawal within 12 weeks of commencement.

(e) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be interpreted as authorization for deployment of United States forces in the territory of Bosnia and Herzegovina for any purpose, including training, support, or delivery of military equipment.

(f) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—

(1) the term “United States arms embargo of the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina” means the application to the Government of Bosnia and Herzegovina of—

(A) the policy adopted July 10, 1991, and published in the Federal Register of July 19, 1991 (58 FR 33322) under the heading “Suspension of Munitions Export Licenses to Yugoslavia”; and